

CHAPTER 17

CREATING A SAFE ENVIRONMENT FOR MUSIC TEACHING AND LEARNING IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN THE IDEMILI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF ANAMBRA STATE

Ebele V. Ojukwu (PhD) & Adaora Echezona

Abstract

Many music education environments evoke fear, causing students to loathe performing or withdraw from expressive music-making. Fear-based performance anxiety is not an admirable outcome of music education, but it is the far-too-common experience of the students. This qualitative study which is anchored on invitational theory and practice offers a practical model for fostering emotionally safe learning environments that instil in music students a positive sense of self-belief, freedom, and purposeful learning. The authors through survey and library methods examined the implications for music educators towards creating effective learning environments, creating a safe space for learning, including specific teacher attitudes, and behaviours that nurture a sense of trust and respect, thereby encouraging experimentation, risk-taking, and self-expression. The paper recommended music teaching strategies that foster purpose-driven student commitment and musical mastery geared towards a sense of self-actualization.

Keywords: Music teaching, Psychology of learning, Safe learning environment, Creativity and performance.

Introduction

Ojukwu & Onyiuke (2014) defining the concept of teaching and learning say, "teaching is a process of manipulation of the environment to bring about the learning while learning is a deliberate change in behaviour of learner(s) through activities, experiences leading to permanent improvement in skills, attitude, and modes of adjustment" (p.78). As hosts and presenters of local

and national performance anxiety workshops, music students have witnessed countless problems, ranging from poor musical engagement, psychologically unsafe learning environment, and lack of enthusiasm, to fear of performance. Participants describe how the demands placed on them by well-meaning teachers have caused them to perform in fear, and, in some unfortunate cases, even lose their love of music altogether. Our realization of the depth and breadth of this problem has caused more deeply consider how music students can be better nurtured and prepared for careers (or lifelong enjoyment of any sort) in music.

Theoretical Framework

The study is anchored on Invitational Theory and Practice. The theory was born as a reaction to the classical educational practices used in schools "invitational theory" aims to change the limited communication styles between school members (Purkey, 1991). A main tenant of "invitational theory" is to revitalize schools and to encourage students to want to go to school (Myers & Monson, 1992). According to the advocates of the theory, five factors affect the appeal of schools: people, places, policies, programs, and processes. "Invitational theory" claims that these five factors make schools more socially appealing and safe (Purkey & Schmidt, 1996).

Drawing on the literature on motivation, creativity, and psychology, we consider means whereby teachers can foster emotionally safe learning environments, and instil music students with a positive sense of self-belief, creative freedom, and purpose. The discussion is framed by four questions:

- What kind of learning environment fosters intrinsic motivation and musical engagement?
- How do competitive structures affect student creativity and self-expression?
- In what ways are music students influenced by critical, demeaning, or fear-based attempts at motivation?
- What effects do elitist notions of talent have on perceptions of music as an accessible, learnable skill?

After the study, the discussion was offered to additional considerations for teachers in creating a safe space in music classrooms and studios.

Fostering Musical Engagement

Learning environments foster intrinsic motivation and musical engagement when students are treated as unique individuals. Many successful teachers have considered it a priority to perceive students as distinct people and understand how each student values the music learning experience (Galamin, 2002). When students are valued for their differences, they may enjoy more personalized learning experiences and be motivated by goals that are related to their own needs and interests (Mitchell, 2012). For example, some students might flourish better in environments

with solo recitals, while students who value social musical experiences may have better success when allowed to make music in groups. Intrinsic motivation can be fostered by teachers who do not view musical ability as a fixed skill, but who allow students to develop their ability level through their efforts (Austin, Renwick, & McPherson, 2006). When students perceive musical ability as a skill that can be developed, they understand that effort will be rewarded by increased mastery (James, Austin & Visopel, 1992). Students can achieve success that they can then attribute to their effort and determination. As students accumulate successes, they will have positive personal experiences to draw on, which then further develop their intrinsic motivation and willingness to engage with the music (Arnove, 2009).

Teachers can encourage intrinsic motivation by praising students and recognizing accomplishments of progress and effort. In such environments, students will likely become motivated by the process of learning itself, instead of by a concrete outcome. Additionally, trusting students and allowing them to take ownership of their music learning by incorporating their ideas contributes to students' sense of ability and engagement. In sum, intrinsic motivation can be fostered through learning environments that recognize student individuality, focus on progress and effort, and provide students ownership and control over their musical development.

Effects of Competition

Music teachers (especially in Nigeria) have traditionally used competition as a means of motivating students to practice. Many teachers are capable of fostering a positive music-learning atmosphere while engaging students in competitive activities; and for several students, competitions can be exciting, stimulating, and a source of musical pride. However, even if competitions are positive for *some* students yet cause other students to experience anxiety or lose their love of music, teachers have a responsibility to consider means by which they can create even more healthy learning environments for all students.

Researchers have found that competition may influence neither achievement nor motivation and the competitive drive that many consider a part of the “human condition” may be based on familiarity, tradition, or pressure from others more than it is a personal or internal need (Larson, & Eccles, 2005). Furthermore, emphasizing competition may cause students to rely more on social comparison than teacher feedback, believe that their ability is fixed and unchangeable, and give up easily after repeated failure (McPherson & Davidson, 2016). For some students, learning in a competitive climate may foster anxiety and fear, including the development of music performance anxiety. Research reveals that 23 per cent of children (Wang, 2005) and 34 per cent of adolescents suffer from clinically relevant levels of music performance anxiety. While percentages may vary in different contexts (e.g., the varying teacher approaches, community values, family support, and students' state of mental well-being), music performance anxiety likely interferes with the learning process for a sizeable number of students.

Certain music teachers engage in competitive practices and events who are also unconditionally supportive of their students, and who do not intentionally use competition as a form of fear-based motivation. It is also likely, even when competition *is* used as a form of fear-based motivation, that many students will demonstrate resilience and not be seriously harmed. On the other hand, some students who are inclined to develop performance anxiety may also be in danger of developing anxiety or panic disorders (Kenny, 2011). In any case, the anxiety produced in competitive situations may interfere with students' abilities to learn to a lesser or greater degree, depending on their relative mental health.

Up to 70 per cent of adult, orchestral musicians report anxiety severe enough to interfere with their performance and adult choral artists may be three times more likely than the average population to report high anxiety (Kenny, Davis, & Oates, 2004). This prevalence of anxiety among professionals suggests that ability and achievement do not lead to a reduction of anxiety in highly competitive situations. As the high attendance at our performance anxiety workshop suggests, the number of highly skilled performers who suffer from these conditions is large, and the condition is prevalent enough to consider alternative practices for motivation and achievement.

Some research suggests that student expressiveness and creativity may be hindered in highly critical, socially comparative musical environments, especially in cases where students enter the environment with a relatively low sense of musical ability. For example, Hendricks (2009) discovered that students in a competitive honour orchestra seating audition more closely associated their perceptions of musical self-efficacy with their ability to impress an adjudicator than with their ability to perform expressively, (Hendricks, 2009) a finding that points to the emphasis of status over personal expressive freedom. Torrance (1995) and Amabile (1990) suggest that creativity is more closely linked with intrinsic rather than extrinsic motivation, again demonstrating that personal expressive and creative freedom may best be fostered through environments where students are motivated by personal and internal interests.

Finally, while competition may be a way to provide invigorating challenges, Csikszentmihalyi (2015) suggests that true enjoyment is lost when the focus turns from personal achievement to winning at the expense of others: The challenges of competition can be stimulating and enjoyable. But when beating the opponent takes precedence in the mind over performing as well as possible, enjoyment tends to disappear. Competition is enjoyable only when it is a means to perfect one's skills; when it becomes an end in itself, it ceases to be fun (Breen, 2004).

Positive Teacher Feedback

Some music students may seem to respond to an authoritarian figure who motivates them out of fear of failure or disappointment. However, it may be difficult for such a teacher to create a safe space in which students feel free to express themselves or take musical risks. Creativity in the workplace is positively associated with joy and love and negatively associated with anger and

fear, and the detrimental effects of fear on learning and productivity have been demonstrated through decades of brain research. In the case of the honour orchestra seating audition mentioned above, (Hendricks students reported that the emphasis on competition and social comparison overshadowed and even inhibited their sense of expressive ability. Creativity and expressiveness may best be fostered in environments that do not promote fear, but rather promote joy and love of music.

The feedback that is informative rather than evaluative does not inhibit creative efforts (Harrington, Block, & Block, 1987). Albert Bandura suggests that innovativeness requires an unshakeable sense of efficacy to persist in creative endeavours, and points to the power of positive verbal persuasion in promoting self-belief (Bandura, 1997). Similarly, Beghetto (2006) found that the strongest predictor of a student's creative self-efficacy belief was positive teacher feedback regarding their creativity (Beghetto, 2006).

Individuals are more spontaneous and creative in settings in which they feel genuinely respected and appreciated (Harrington, Block, & Block, 2003). Dacey (2019) found that children whose parents modelled creative problem-solving rather than using prescriptive rules or conventional punishment enjoyed playing with their parents and had many opportunities for creative activity (Dacey, 2019). It is clear, then, that negative or fear-based attempts at motivation may in some cases *appear* to get students to do what teachers want, but these effects may be short-term, less effective, and, in some cases, even detrimental to learning. A much more effective approach may be, as David M. Harrington, Jeanne H. Block, and Jack Block suggest, to create a climate in which individuals experience psychological safety and freedom, openness to experience, and the opportunity to experiment (Dacey, 2019).

Music: A Learnable Skill

One of the authors once worked for a school principal who was turned off of music at an early age by a choir teacher who told her to “just mouth the words.” Not only did this experience discourage her from future participation in music, but it instilled in her the belief that music requires a special talent, therefore rendering music education inaccessible to all but the specially gifted. Because of this early negative association with music education, this principal reported she has “hated music ever since.” This administrator's choir teacher may have thought that she was “saving” a performance by asking one monotone girl to keep quiet. However, one must wonder how often music educators “save” performances at the expense of the emotional and educational needs of their students, thus losing support for music education when those children grow up and become influential members of society.

Many in our society might doubt the practicality or accessibility of public music education because they share a belief in discriminatory “talent,” where music study-especially participation in performance ensembles-is best limited to a select and “gifted” few. According to Rudolf E. Radocy, the American emphasis on competitive performance ensembles poses limits to (a) the

number of repertoires students can potentially learn, (b) musical opportunities for students who are not among the elite minority of highest performance ability, and (c) possibilities for more student-centred instruction (Radocy, 2001).

McPherson and Hendricks (2010) found that high school students in the United States reported low competence beliefs, values, and interest in music as a school subject, yet reported a strong interest in music learning outside of school that was equal only to their interest in extracurricular athletics (McPherson & Hendricks, 2010). The authors suggest that “music participation itself may not be what is undervalued, but ... music study in U.S. schools may not presently serve a broad population of students in ways that sufficiently promote the value of music for them at an individual level. They stated that music educators should provide broader performance opportunities that include more experiences of personal expression and encourage autonomous, self-directed learning: Performance and competition hold a fundamental place in the tradition of musical excellence in the United States. A broader emphasis and opportunities for autonomous and student-directed musical activity may, however, be inviting to students who are not presently served within the American music education system. Providing more extensive, enriching, and varied musical experiences at school may make music more accessible to a larger and more diverse population of students, and help students to experience first-hand the value that music can have in their lives.

Considerations for Creating a Safe Space

After examining the research, several suggestions were offered for music teachers who are interested in creating safe musical “spaces” for their students-learning environments in which students will be more likely to freely express themselves. These include both actively shaping environments and personally reflecting on one's state of being to foster purpose-driven student commitment, musical mastery, and a sense of self-actualization and “flow.”

1. *Listen and be emotionally present* so that students have the sense that they are being heard. Sometimes teachers may get so involved in considering how to shape and lead the lesson that they mentally “check out” instead of listening to the music as well as to their students. On the other hand, students can sense the genuineness of a caring teacher whose focus and mental investment are demonstrated through specific constructive feedback regarding musical improvements and effort. In addition to being mentally present with students, being emotionally present allows teachers to be sensitive to how students are responding to feedback, thereby allowing them to better gauge the level of pacing, praise, and/or challenge. Studying music requires students to be musically, emotionally, and creatively vulnerable. We as teachers must respect this and pay attention to the difficult work that we are asking our students to undertake. Being present involves giving our full attention to students at the moment, and waiting until the lesson has concluded and students have left before directing our attention elsewhere (e.g., future lesson planning, checking e-mail, etc.).

2. *Use ability-appropriate and challenging situations* to encourage and stimulate students, but *focus on the challenge at hand* rather than encouraging students to compare themselves with others. Much trust is lost when students believe that their teachers have set them up to fail, either by choosing material that is too far out of reach or engaging in competitive experiences that can leave students focused on their shortcomings and failings. In this case, rather than feeling a nurturing learning environment, students may experience their music education as a humiliating exercise and will be unlikely to trust their teacher to protect their well-being in the future, which may hamper musical growth.

Teachers can provide positive experiences by carefully selecting repertoire that has a mix of challenges and already-mastered skills so that students feel neither bored nor floundering. In group settings, where performance tests are often used to compare and rank students, teachers might try an alternate approach of conducting pretests and posttests for each student to highlight individual accomplishments of progress and improvement.

3. As teachers are not the only individuals involved in creating a safe space, it is necessary to *educate others about creating a safe space, both through words and modelling*. A sense of safety is created not only by a teacher but by other individuals in the student's life, including parents and peers. This effect may not be understood by everyone, however, so teachers need to inform parents of the need to create a safe space where students are protected physically and emotionally. The learning environment should allow for “safety without safety” -a climate for risk-taking that supports experimentation, creativity, and expression such that students feel safe to practice, experiment, and learn without severe judgment or criticism (Higgins & Campbell, 2010). With parents, this can be achieved through holding parent information nights for new students and parents that outline the importance of safe spaces for musical learning. Fellow students must also learn the expectations for a safe learning environment that fosters growth-something that students can talk about and agree upon together through the facilitation of the teacher. In the classroom or studio among students, teachers must nurture mutual trust and respect while holding themselves and all students to the expectations of being present and offering honest and affirming critique of each other.

4. *Be sensitive to the relationship between students' musicality and their personal life*. Outside factors influence students' music-making. At times, this means that teachers might need to wait until the outside influences resolve in a way to allow for musical growth. It is also imperative that teachers make critiques and even offer praise about the music itself, and not about the person as an individual. For example, a student's struggle to capture the expressive elements of a certain piece does not necessarily reflect upon the emotional maturity of that student, just as the learning of a technical passage does not equate with the student being a good or bad person. Additionally, as part of the safe space, teachers should be open to students expressing their feelings and needs as

the music elicits various responses. For example, teachers can be supportive of a student performing an elegy who is recalling feelings of grief related to a deceased pet or loved one.

5. *Some instructions must be unconventional.* Safe spaces are created when teachers adapt the learning environment and repertoire to the student's social, emotional, and physical needs. This includes developing individualized teaching approaches and activities that relate to a student's age, interests, or particular abilities. For one of the authors, this has included allowing a student the autonomy to perform Mozart in a heavy metal fashion before any headway could be made in developing a classical style.

Teachers can also step outside traditional authoritarian roles by offering their own experiences and honest failings as students grapple with new musical skills and techniques. Knowing that a teacher once struggled with a similar challenge (and is willing to talk about it) can both further the trusting bond and offer a realistic view of the teacher, which may inspire a student to persevere.

Conclusions

The practice of creating a safe space for the students begins by creating a safe space inside ourselves, one in which can openly reflect on any present teaching practices that may not be beneficial or effective. Whether the students may be prone to serious anxiety or just require a safe space to take musical risks, *all* students need teachers who can respond to their diverse needs. The five considerations described here, when adapted for various contexts and individuals, can promote learning spaces that are supportive of all learners.

Recommendations

1. Music teachers should possess specific attitudes and behaviours that nurture a sense of trust and respect, thereby encouraging experimentation, risk-taking, and self-expression.
2. Music teachers should acquire teaching strategies that foster purpose-driven student commitment and musical mastery toward a sense of self-actualization.
3. Teachers should provide kinds of learning environments that can foster intrinsic motivation and musical engagement.
4. Teachers should acquaint themselves with the knowledge of competitive structures that can affect student creativity and self-expression.
5. The teachers should ensure that music students should not be influenced by critical, demeaning, or fear-based attempts at motivation.
6. Teachers of music should provide students with the elitist notions of talent on perceptions of music as an accessible, learnable skill for effective and efficient teaching and learning music.

References

Amabile, (1985). *Within you, without you; Edward L. Deci and Richard M. Ryan intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behaviour.* New York: Plenum Press.

- Amabile, T. M. (1990). Within you, without you: Towards a social psychology of creativity and beyond, in theories of creativity, In M. A. Runco & R. S. Albert (Eds.), *Newbury Towards a Social Psychology of Creativity and Beyond, in Theories of Creativity*, Park, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Ames, C. & Ames, R. (2004). Goal structures and motivation. *The Elementary School Journal*, 85(1), 40–41.
- Ames, C. (2012). Classrooms: Goals, structures, and student motivation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84(3), 261–271.
- Arnove, S. (2009). *Talent abounds profiles of master teachers and peak performers*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Publishers.
- Austin, J. R. (1990). Competition: Is music education the loser? *Music Educators Journal*, 76(6), 21–25.
- Austin, J. R., & McPherson, G. E. (2006). Developing motivation in the child as a musician. In G. E. McPherson (Ed.), *A Handbook of Musical Development*. (pp. 213-318), Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press 213–38.
- Austin, J. R. & Visopel, W. P. (1997). Motivation after failure in school music classes: The Facilitative effects of strategy attributions. *Bulletin for the Council of Research in Music Education* 111, 1–23.
- Austin, J. R. (1991). Competitive and non-competitive goal structures: An analysis of motivation and achievement among elementary band students. *Psychology of Music*, 19(2), 142–48.
- Austin, J. R. (2008). The effect of music contest format on self-concept, motivation, achievement, and attitude of elementary band students. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 36(2), 95–107.
- Austin, J. R. (2003). Competitive and non-competitive goal structures. In V. Burnsed, J. Sochinski, & D. Hinkle (Eds.), *The Attitude Of College Band Students Toward High School Marching Band Competition*, *Journal of Band Research* 19, 11–17.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: Freeman.
- Beghetto, R. A. (2006). Creative self-efficacy: Correlates in middle and secondary students. *Creativity Research Journal*, 18(4), 447–457.
- Blair, D. V. (2009). Stepping aside: Teaching in a student-centred music classroom. *Music Educators Journal*, 95(3), 42-45.
- Blanchard, B., & Acree, C. B. (2007). *Making music and enriching lives: A guide for all music teachers*. Bloomington: Indiana University.
- Breen, B. (2004). *The 6 Myths of Creativity*. The Fast Company.
<http://www.fastcompany.com/magazine/89/creativity.html>.
- Charles P. Schmidt, C. P. (2005). Relations among motivation, performance achievement, and music experience variables in secondary instrumental music students, *Journal of Research in Music Education* 53(2), 134–137.

- Dacey, J. S. (2019). Discriminating characteristics of the families of highly creative adolescents. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 23(4) 263–271.
- Fehm, L., & Schmidt, K. (2005). Performance anxiety in gifted adolescent musicians. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 20(1), 98–109.
- Galamian, I. (2002). *Principles of violin playing & teaching*. Ann Arbor, MI: Shar.
- Harrington, D. M., Block, J. H., & Block, J. (1987). Testing aspects of Carl Roger theory of creative environments: Child-rearing antecedents of creative potential in young adolescents. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(4), 851–856.
- Harrington, D. M., Block, J., & Jeanne, H. Block, J. H. (2003). Predicting creativity in preadolescence from divergent thinking in early childhood. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45(3), 609–623.
- Hendricks, S. K. (2009). Relationships between the sources of self-efficacy and changes in competence perceptions of music students during an all-state orchestra event [PhD dissertation]. University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.
- Higgins, L., & Patricia S. Campbell, P. S. (2010). *Free to be musical: Group improvisation in music*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- James, I. (1998). Western orchestral musicians are highly stressed resonance. *International Music Council*, 26, 19–20.
- Jensen, E. (1998). *Teaching with the brain in mind* (2nd ed.) Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Kenny, D. T., Davis, P., & Oates, J. (2004). Music performance anxiety and occupational stress amongst opera chorus artists and their relationship with state and trait anxiety and perfectionism. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 18(6), 757–77.
- Kenny, D. T. (2011). *The psychology of music performance anxiety*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2011).
- LeDoux, J. (1996). *The emotional brain: The mysterious underpinnings of emotional life new*. York: Touchstone Books.
- LeDoux, J. (2003). The emotional brain, fear, and the amygdala. *Cellular and Molecular Neurobiology*, 23(4–5), 727–38.
- McPherson, G. E., & Davidson, J. W. (2006). Playing an instrument, in the child a musician. In G. E. McPherson (Ed.), *A handbook of musical development*, (pp. 331-351). New York: Oxford University Press.
- McPherson, G. E. & Hendricks, K. S. (2010). Students' motivation to study music: The United States of America. *Research Studies in Music Education*, 32(2), 201–213.
- Mitchell, N. (2012). Evaluative performances as a contributor to music learning: conditions for positive evaluation experiences for beginning and intermediate piano students. *Proceedings from the International Society for Music Education 30th World Conference on Music Education* (Ed.) W. S. Nedlands, Australia: International Society for Music Education.

- Myers, J., & Monson, L. (1992). *Involving families in middle-level education*. Columbus, OH: National Middle Schools Association Press.
- Ojukwu, E.V. Onyiuke, & Y.S. Onyiuke (2014). Effective teaching of Cultural and Creative Arts (CCA): Integrated approach. *Awka Journal of Research in Music and the Arts (AJRMA)*, 10, 74-86
- Ojukwu, E. V. & Nwaodu, C. E. (2020). Improving teaching and learning experience in primary school using music. Igwebuike: *An African Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 6(1), 60-69.
- O'Neill, S. A., & Gary E. McPherson, G. E. (2002). *Motivation in the Science and Psychology of Music Performance: Creative Strategies for Teaching and Learning*, (Eds.) R. Parcutt & G. McPherson, (pp. 31–46). New York: Oxford University Press.
- O'Neill, S. A. (2005). Youth music engagement in diverse contexts, in organized activities as context for development. In J. L. Mahoney, R. W. Larson, & J. S. Eccles (Eds.). *Extracurricular activities, after-school programs, and community programs*, (pp. 255-273). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Purkey, W. W. (1991). A brief history of the international alliance for invitational education. *Invitational Education Forum*, 12, 23-25.
- Purkey, W. W., & Schmidt, J. J. (1996). *Invitational counselling: A self-concept approach to professional practice*. Pacific Grove: Brooks/Cole Press
- Radocy, R. E. (2001). North America in musical development and learning. D. J. Hargreaves & C. Adrian (Eds.), *The international perspective* (pp. 120-133). North London: Continuum.
- Renwick, J. M., & McPherson, G. E. (2002). Interest and choice: Student-selected repertoire and its effect on practising the behaviour. *British Journal of Music Education* 19(2), 173–88.
- Rogers, C. R. (1995). *On becoming a person: A therapist's view of psychotherapy*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Rogers, G. L. (2002). Attitudes of high school band directors, band members, parents, and principals toward marching band contests. [PhD dissertation]. Indiana University.
- Runco, M. A. (2007). *Creativity: Theories and themes: Research, development, and practice*. Burlington, MA: Elsevier.
- Torrance, E. P. (1995). *Why Fly?* Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Vispoel, W. P., & Austin, J. R. (2003). Constructive responses to failure in music: The role of attribution feedback and classroom goal structure, *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 63(1), 110–29.
- Wang, J. C. (2001). A study of performance anxiety in talented music students. *Bulletin for Research in Elementary Education*, 7, 1–67.